



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,230	01/30/2001	Rollie M. Fisher	199-1905 (VGT 0168 PUS)	1123
7590 02/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Angela M. Brunetti Artz & Artz, PC 28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250 Southfield, MI 48034			HUYNH, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/774,230	Applicant(s) FISHER ET AL.	
	Examiner Kim T. Huynh	Art Unit 2112	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies-not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. (US Patent 6,138,185) in view of Toda (US Patent 6,745,271)

As per claim 1, Nelson discloses a controller for microprocessor input/output to at least one external device, said controller comprising:

- control logic; (col.5, lines 5-15)
- an input/output (I/O) crossover-switching network having a plurality of parallel pins; (col.7, lines 25-31)
- at least one serial I/O shifter in communication with said I/O crossover-switching network, said at least one serial I/O shifter having at least one channel; (col.3, line 37-col.4, lines 30)
- a clock signal for clocking a transfer of serial data from said controller to the external device; (col.5, lines 42-54), (col.2, lines 35-44), (col.4, lines 3-61)
- a latch signal for delimiting boundaries of transferred serial data; (col.4, lines 45-61)

- primary and secondary I/O signal pathways controlled by said I/O crossover-switching network for selecting I/O signals and usage of said plurality of parallel pins for communication with and control of the external device; and (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 44)
- wherein for said at least one channel, a first signal pathway is selectable between at least said primary signal pathway and said secondary signal pathway for connection to said at least one serial I/O shifter. (col.2, lines 25-44), (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 61)

Nelson discloses all the limitations as above except clock signal for synchronizing the operation of said at least one serial I/O shifter. However, Toda discloses clock lines being inputted to each of the memory modules and the controller, data input/output operations of each of the memory and the controller being performed in synchronism with basic clocks. (col.2, line 56-col.3, line 19)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Toda's teaching into Nelson's system so as to permits data transfers to be made at high speed without increasing the number of data buses. (col.2, lines 53-55)

As per claim 2, Nelson discloses the controller further comprising:

- optional signal pathways controlled by said I/O crossover-switching network for selecting I/O signals and usage of said plurality of parallel pins

for communication with and control of the external device; and (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 61), (col.2, lines 25-44)

- wherein for said at least one channel, a second signal pathway is selectable between at least said primary signal pathway and said optional signal pathway for connection to a pin on the external device, said primary signal pathway being available to either said first signal pathway or said second signal pathway. (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 61)

As per claim 3, Nelson discloses the controller further comprising an external source for said clock and latch signals. (col.2, lines 52-54)

As per claims 4,9, Nelson discloses the controller wherein said serial I/O shifter further comprises a high-speed serial shifter wherein serial data is transferred out of said shifter on one edge of said clock signal and transferred to said external device on the following edge of said clock signal. (col.4, lines 1-17)

As per claim 5, Nelson discloses a method for serializing parallel data comprising the steps of:

- sampling selected parallel I/O signals with a serial I/O shifter; (col.4, lines 1-30)
- selecting a signal path from a primary, secondary or optional signal path; (col.3, lines 37-61), (col.5, lines 17-28)
- serially transferring bits of the data stream from an I/O multiplexer to an external device at the rate of one bit per cycle of a clock signal; (col.4, lines 3-61), (col.9, lines 7-10)

- asserting a latch signal for enabling an external device; and (col.8, lines 58-67)
- outputting data as parallel I/O signals. (col.9, lines 11-19)

As per claim 6, Nelson discloses wherein said step of serially transferring bits of the data stream further comprises serially transferring bits at a rate of one bit per cycle of a clock signal. (col.4, lines 45-61)

As per claim 7, Nelson discloses wherein said step of sampling selected parallel I/O signals further comprises sampling selected parallel I/O signals on the leading edge of a latch signal to create a bit data stream. (col.4, lines 1-17)

As per claim 8, Nelson discloses wherein said step of outputting data further comprises setting a resolution of the parallel I/O signals using a frequency of the latch signal.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed on 11/29/04 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

a. In response to applicant's argument that Nelson does not disclose transferring bits data of the data stream from an I/O multiplexer to an external device at the rate of one bit per cycle of a clock signal. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As Nelson notes at col.3, line 64-col.4, line 30, discloses serial crossbar controller operatively coupled to each port by connection busses including request and response. In operation, a source port receives a data packet from an external device. The processed of each request during a single clock cycle. This is equivalent operation at the rate of one request(bit)

per every cycle. It reads on the breadth of the claimed languages therefore it is properly stated in the rejection of record.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00AM- 6:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.Rinehart@uspto.gov].

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

Feb. 12, 2005



TIM VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER